

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,378	12/18/2001	Mark J. Harris	26769-4	7906
21130	7590 01/21/2005	EXAMINER		
BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DOCKET CLERK 2300 BP TOWER 200 PUBLIC SQUARE CLEVELAND, OH 44114			NGUYEN, QUYNH H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/018,378	HARRIS, MARK J.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quynh H Nguyen	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS or THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if						
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See or a return to the filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
—						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying and						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:	ection(s):	٠				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the						
application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly						
reject by the Evaminer in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		Ownh H. Nauwon				
		Quynh H. Nguyen Tel:(703)-305-5451				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 01/03/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As to claim 1, Applicant argues that in the claimed invention, the order of the domain corresponds to the telephone number portion. In other words, the telephone number portion is "ordered" as a telephone number. Examiner respectfully submits that Andersen et al. teach (col. 3, lines 37-48) that a telephone number portion identifying a device, for example, 011-123-456-7890, is converted into multiple level domain name comprising a plurality of domains corresponding to the telephone number portion and a base portion, for example, 7890.456.123.011.dir-con.com. The telephone number portion is 011-123-456-7890; and the domain 7890.456.123.01 corresponds to the telephone number portion.

Similar response applies to claims 7 and 10. Applicant further argues that Andersen et al. descirbes a static identifier. This is irrelevant.

AHMAD F. MATAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700